

Mr. **SPEAKER**.—That matter is before the Government in appeal. The Hon'ble Minister cannot give a decision now.

Sri **B. NARAYANASWAMY**.—The appeal is between the applicants of the year 1954. My question is whether the cases of applicants who had applied in 1952 were considered in 1954?

Sri **H. SIDDAVEERAPPA**.—That is exactly I am not able to answer for the simple reason that I just do not know who were those who had applied in 1952. I have a Gazette Notification to show that applications were called for. But the S.T.A. thought that under any circumstances there must be a re-advertisement. In pursuance of the re-advertisement, as many as 12 persons had applied. I have got the list also. Therefore, I am not in a position to say whether all those who applied in the year 1952 had also applied in 1954.

Sri **B. NARAYANASWAMY**.—Sir, what were the merits that made S.T.A. to give the line to the applicant by name Aswathanarayana?

Mr. **SPEAKER**.—I do not think that arises. This is a matter in dispute, in appeal. It has to be gone into.

### Government Road Transport Vehicles (Third Party Risk Insurance).

Q.—811. Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK** (Molakalmuru).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of buses, cars, motor cycles and vans that the Government Road Transport is maintaining;

(b) the amount involved if all of them are insured for third party risk;

(c) the amount involved if all of them are insured for comprehensive risk;

(d) the net income that Government Road Transport has derived for the year 1954-55;

(e) the total investment made by them on this concern?

A.—Sri **H. SIDDAVEERAPPA** (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) 1. Buses	...	163.
2. Car	...	1.
3. Vans	...	4.
4. Motor-Cycles	...	5.

(b) Rs. 20,000 Approximately per annum.

(c) Rs. 81,100 approximately per annum.

(d) Up to end of January 1955 : Rs. 12,06,755 (as per provisional accounts).

(e) Investment as on 1st April 1954 : Rs. 21,30,801.

12-30 P.M.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—What was the gross income during the year under reference, Sir, i.e., up to end of January 1955?

Mr. **SPEAKER**.—That information was supplied in the previous answer.

\*Sri **H. SIDDAVEERAPPA**.—There was already information given with regard to that point in the previous question.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—What was the percentage of income on investment? You have stated that the net income was Rs. 12,06,755 on an investment of Rs. 21,30,801. Does it not work to 75 per cent?

Sri **H. SIDDAVEERAPPA**.—So far as the investment is concerned, it is a variable figure. After depreciation the investment goes on adding. So far as this figure, i.e., 12,06,755 is concerned, this is given by the Accounts Officer as a fact.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—I want to know whether the Government can definitely say that the investment is not more than 21 lakhs.

Sri **H. SIDDAVEERAPPA**.—I believe I have no reason to think that I am wrong.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—By the way of expressing it perhaps I am very doubtful whether it is correct. Because on the investment . . .

Sri **K. HANUMANTHAIYA**.—There is no room for doubt when figures are given.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—Is it 75 per cent? Is that the income on the investment? I want to know. I want to know the percentage of income on the investment.

Sri **K. HANUMANTHAIYA**.—It is a matter of calculation. It is not so much as information.

Sri **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK**.—That is why I am saying. It is a thing which I

cannot imagine and believe. For an investment of 21 lakhs, if we get 12 lakhs, it is really a very commendable thing. That is why I doubt.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am really glad that my Hon'ble friend is commending Government for having earned such returns.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—That is why I am doubtful.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There need be no doubt.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What was the income for the last three years?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In 1953-54, it was a net income of eight lakhs and odd. I am saying it from memory. For the previous years—I have already given the figures for the last five years. If the Hon'ble Member wants the information, I will place it on the Table of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The information was supplied during the previous question.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I may also add one thing for the information of the House. These figures are audited by the Accountant-General. It is not only my friend Sri Bheemappa Naik who doubted. But the Accountant-General was also thinking whether these figures were correct. But he got himself satisfied after auditing the accounts.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What I am doubting is the net income on the investment. Even if we take at fifteen to twenty thousand rupees per bus as investment, and for 166 buses, the investment will be more than one crore. I think it comes to a crore and sixty thousand rupees even if we take the investment as ten thousand.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We allow 25 per cent depreciation for each bus. Every year as soon as depreciation is earned, to that extent investment gets curtailed.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—That is incorrect. That is the very thing that I wanted you to tell. Depreciation is a different thing. From the account if you are deducting the depreciation, that means you are deducting out of it and lowering the investment. How do you call it depreciation?

Mr. SPEAKER.—May I bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Member that the matter is being discussed?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Barring depreciation what was the amount invested?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—As advised by the Accountant-General and as also examined by him from time to time, this is the investment which is true to facts. As on 1st April 1954 the investment was Rs. 21,30,801.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Barring depreciation, what was the amount invested, I want to know. Let us also know how much depreciation fund you have built up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Minister is aware of the total investment and the depreciation, he may give the figures.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—So far as the depreciation fund is concerned, I have not got today the information. Each bus, before it goes out of service will have earned its full investment. Today, I believe we have got eight lakhs by way of depreciation fund.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Apart from the explanation—the Hon'ble Minister can say whether he can furnish the amount invested on the buses without excluding depreciation.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will place that figure on the Table of the House tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಚಾಸಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—50.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಒಂದೊಂದು ಬಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರೀದಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಚಾಸಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸುಮಾರು 22-25 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ 166 ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳು ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಲೈನ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಓಡಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಸುಮಾರು 130 ಇರಬಹುದು, ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಮ್ಮಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಅಲೈಬ್ಯೂರನ್ನು ಚೆಕ್ಸ್‌ಲಾ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಎಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಗಾಡಿಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ರಿಪೇರಿ ಆಗದೆ ಹಾಸನಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದ ಬಸ್ಸು ಚಕ್ರಬಲಾಪುರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ತಪ್ಪು ಗವನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಶೇಕಡ 80 ರಷ್ಟು ಲಾಭ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂದಮೇಲೆ ಮೈಲಿಗೆ ಆರು ಕಾಸಿನಂತೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದನ್ನು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕಾಸಿನಂತೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In fact, our rates compare more favourably with the rates of adjoining States for services—in Bombay and Madras.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ರಾಭ ಬರುವ ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಿಕರಿಗೆ ರಿಲೀಫ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ನಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ರಾಭ ಬಂದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಇತರ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿನಿಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಮನಾಪರೈಸ್ ರಾಟ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಒವರ್ ಲೋಡ್ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಏಕೆ ಬಿಡಬಾರದು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is a double question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—Double question ಅಲ್ಲ. ರಾಭ ಬರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಏಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವುದು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is comment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ರಾಭ ಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒವರ್ ಲೋಡ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಒವರ್ ಲೋಡ್‌ನಿಂದ ರಾಭ ಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Often times, it has been said that there has been overloading. I have already assured that overloading will be stopped one hundred per cent.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—It is my experience.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—That solves the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ರಾಟ್ ಮನಾಪರೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇನ್ನೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಬಸ್ ರನ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀಲ್ಲ, ನೀವೂ ಕೂಡ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬಸ್ಸು ಹಾಕುವ ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has said that overloading would be stopped.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Is it not obligatory on the part of the bus owners to insure the vehicles as per the provisions of the Indian Motor Vehicles Act ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is obligatory so far as the private owners are concerned. But so far as Government is concerned, we are exempted.

#### L. F. Dispensary for Chelur, Gubbi Taluk.

Q.—740. Sri B. C. NANJUNDIAH (Kora).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that they have sanctioned a reduced scale L. F. dispensary, for Chelur, Gubbi Taluk, on 11th January 1950 under G.O. No. ML-13-0-1—Med. 43-19 57;

(b) whether it is a fact that the hospital is not opened for want of a building;

(c) whether they would sanction a building under local development works ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) No; it would be possible only if substantial donations from the public and co-operation of the village panchayets are forthcoming.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ.—ಲೋಕಲ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೂ ಕೂಡ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ ಯಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಹೌದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ.—1950ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಯಲ್ಲೇ ಚೇಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಆ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಸಣ್ಣದ ಅಭಾವ ದಿಂದಲೇ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಜನರು ನಾವು ಡೊನೇಷನ್ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಬಲ್ಲೆವೆನ್ನ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ; ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ಯನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಮೊದಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಅವರು ನಮಗೆ ಬಡತನ ನಾವು ಡೊನೇಷನ್ ಎತ್ತಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಅದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಬಾಡಿನ ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೂ ಸಹ ನಾಲಗೆ ಒಂದು ಭಾಗದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಒಲ್ಲ ಅವರು ಮೊದಲು ತೀವ್ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಡೆನೇಷನ್ ಎತ್ತದೆ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕಾಂಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಮುಂದೆ ಬಂದರೆ ಆಗ ಈ ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಆಗಬಹುದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 744.

Sri Muddurumiah can put the question on behalf of Sri Mali Mariyappa.